

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,054	04/08/2004	Richard Montgomery	42P15278	2097
8791	7590 11/25/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90025-1030		2835	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/822,054	MONTGOMERY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	October 2005					
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in addordance with the practice and s	Expano quayio, 1000 O.B. 11,	400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or are derained depice not receiv					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/822,054 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doll in view of Anderson et al.

Doll discloses a system, comprising: an electronic component 17, and a cold plate 24 thermally coupled to the electronic component 17, the cold plate 24 comprising: an enclosure having a fluid inlet 48 and a fluid outlet 50 in fluid communication with the fluid inlet 48, the fluid inlet 48 and the fluid outlet 50 are co-located since they are in the same plane (claims 6, 12, 18); a channel structure inside the enclosure between the

inlet and the outlet defining a plurality of radial flow paths (see Fig's. 8, 9, 10); the enclosure comprises a lid member 20 and a base member 22, and wherein the channel structure comprises: a plurality of cooling fins 52 disposed between the lid member 20 and the base member 22, the fins defining a set of channel walls which form radial flow paths from an impingement point 65 radially outward to a perimeter of the enclosure which is centrally located, the channel walls provide a high fluid channel aspect ratio since they form narrow fluid channels (claims 5,11, 17). The method steps of claims 7-9 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Doll.

Doll discloses the claimed invention except having the impingement point for cooling fluid in the enclosure located at the position corresponding to an expected hottest spot. Anderson discloses liquid cooling arrangement having liquid coolant impinged on the hottest spot (col. 6, lines 16-19). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to impinge the cooling liquid corresponding to the hottest location of the heat source as disclosed by Anderson et al. for the device disclosed by Doll for efficient cooling. Doll also is silent regarding a tubing loop connecting the cold plate with a pump and a heat dissipation device including fan. The instant application disclosure indicates that such system is known (see specification Page 3) and several prior art references listed in US PTO Form 892, which are not applied at this time, disclose such a system, therefore it would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use it with the device disclosed by Doll.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/822,054 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY

hvise li Menimo